R. L. Martin,* N. M. Rohde, G. B. Robertson, D. Taylor Research School of Chemistry, The Australian National University Canberra, A.C.T., Australia 2600 Received February 8, 1974

CIDNP in Dispiro [2.2.2.2] deca-4,9-diene in Its Thermolysis. CIDNP Derived from a Short-Chain Singlet Biradical

Sir:

The phenomenon of CIDNP has been successfully accounted for by the radical pair theory proposed by Closs¹ and by Kaptein and Oosterhoff.²⁻⁵ According to this theory, to observe CIDNP it is necessary that a radical pair separates after its birth to a distance where the $S-T_0$ splitting in the pair is sufficiently small and spends a sufficiently long time at that distance to allow substantial $S-T_0$ mixing by hyperfine interactions and g shift. In normal radical pairs, the above condition is easily fulfilled by diffusive displacements of the components.6 In biradicals, however, radical centers cannot be separated beyond the distance the molecular structure allows. Therefore, such a requirement can be a severe limitation to the observation of $(S-T_0)$ CIDNP in the reactions of short-chain biradicals and, to our knowledge, $(S-T_0)$ CIDNP has not been observed in the products derived from these species. We report here the observation of CIDNP effect in a product which seems certain to be derived from a short-chain singlet biradical.

The nmr spectrum of dispiro[2.2.2.2]deca-4,9-diene (1) consists of two sharp singlets at δ 0.6 and 4.8.7 An nmr tube containing the solution of 1 in triglyme was placed in the probe of a JEOL PS-100 spectrometer preheated at 193° and the spectrum was recorded repeatedly. After an initial period of time for the sample to warm up, the intensity of the singlet at δ 0.6 began to increase rapidly and reached a maximum⁸ after ca. 150 sec, while that of the singlet at δ 4.8 decreased and the signal turned to emission after ca. 70 sec (Figure 1). During the decomposition of 1, CIDNP signals which would be due to the reaction products⁹ were also observed.^{10,11} The polarization in 1 was observed in *p*-di-

(1) G. L. Closs, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 91, 4552 (1969); G. L. Closs and A. D. Trifunac, ibid., 91, 4554 (1969); 92, 2183. 2186 (1970); G. L. Closs, C. E. Doubleday, and D. R. Poulson, ibid., 92, 2185 (1970).

(2) R. Kaptein and L. J. Oosterhoff, Chem. Phys. Lett., 4, 195, 214 (1969); R. Kaptein, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 94, 6251, 6262 (1972); R. Kaptein and J. A. den Hollander, ibid., 94, 6269 (1972).

(3) J. F. Garst, R. H. Cox, J. T. Barbas, R. D. Roberts, J. I. Morris, and R. C. Morrison, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 92, 5761 (1970); J. F. Garst,

F. E. Barton, II, and J. I. Morris, ibid., 93, 4310 (1971); J. I. Morris, R. C. Morrison, D. W. Smith, and J. F. Garst, ibid., 94, 2406 (1972).

(4) H. R. Ward, Accounts Chem. Res., 5, 18 (1972), and references cited therein.

(5) R. G. Lawler, Accounts Chem. Res., 5, 25 (1972).

(6) F. J. Adrian, J. Chem. Phys., 54, 3912 (1971).
(7) T. Tsuji, S. Nishida, and H. Tsubomura, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 284 (1972).

(8) The maximum enhancement factor $I_{max} - I_0/I_0$, where I_{max} was the maximum intensity and I_0 , the thermal equilibrium intensity, was ca. 20.

(9) The thermolysis of 1 in diglyme afforded p-diethylbenzene in 73%yield.

(10) An enhanced triplet absorption at δ 1.2, an enhanced quartet absorption at δ 2.5, and a sharp singlet emission at δ 7.0.

(11) Samples were deoxygenated by argon bubbling. One of the samples was degassed through four freeze-thaw cycles on a vacuum line $(1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm})$.





Figure 1. Time development of the polarization during decomposition of dispiro[2.2.2.2]deca-4,9-diene in triglyme at 193°, obtained by repeated sweeping through regions of $\delta 0.6$ (a) and 4.8 (b). The spectrum amplitude of (b) is ten times that of (a).

bromobenzene and diphenyl ether as well as in triglyme.

The rate of disappearance of 1 (4.53 \times 10⁻³ sec⁻¹ at 193° in triglyme) was in good agreement with that of the decay of the polarized signals, and maximum intensity of the enhanced absorption at δ 0.6 was found to depend linearly on the concentration of 1 ranging from 0.01 to 0.1 M. These results clearly showed that the responsible species for the polarization in 1 was formed in a first-order reaction from 1. The first step of the reaction would no doubt be homolytic cleavage of the cyclopropane ring. The resulting biradical, 2,



would further rearrange to 3 because 2 was structurally equivalent to the intermediate postulated in 1,2-aryl migration.^{12–16} In the presence of radical scavenger

(12) W. A. Pryor, "Free Radicals," McGraw-Hill, New York, N. Y., 1966.

(13) The possibility that 3 underwent further rearrangement to 4 will



be slight, though it cannot be ruled out rigorously. Although the allylcarbinyl-cyclopropylcarbinyl radical rearrangement, to which $2 \rightleftharpoons 3$ corresponds, is the well-documented process, 14-16 few, if any, instances of the allylcarbinyl-cyclobutyl radical rearrangement have been known. We have obtained no experimental results which indicated the intermediacy of 4.

(14) C. Walling, "Molecular Rearrangements," Vol. 1, P. DeMayo,
 Ed., Interscience, New York, N. Y., 1963.
 (15) L. K. Montgomery, J. W. Watt, and J. R. Wefster, J. Amer. Chem.

Soc., 89, 923 (1967); L. K. Montgomery and J. W. Watt, *ibid.*, 89, 934, 3050 (1967).

(16) T. A. Halgren, M. E. H. Howden, M. E. Medof, and J. D. Roberts, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 89, 3051 (1967).

such as *p*-thiocresol and benzylmercaptan, the rate of disappearance of 1 was accelerated more than 10 times, indicating that $k_{-1}/k_2 \ge 10$ in the absence of the radical scavenger. Because the polarization in 1 was not observable under the above conditions, the radical responsible for the polarization in 1 or one equilibrating with it must have been efficiently trapped. p-Diethylbenzene and the addition product 5 were the major

Et
$$-CH_2CH_2SR$$

5, $\mathbf{R} = p - CH_3C_6H_4$ for p-thiocresol
= $C_6H_5CH_2$ for benzyl mercaptan

products. Thus, only 2 and 3 seem conceivable as the species in which the polarization arose.¹⁷ Indeed the thermal reactions of 1 investigated so far were all accountable in terms of the intermediacy of 2 and $3^{7,13}$ The possibility that the polarization was brought about through the dynamic behavior of the rather long-chain biradical 3, however, is ruled out on the ground that spin-selection in a pair of equivalent radicals ($\Delta g = 0$) cannot give net polarization.^{1,2,19} Therefore the polarization would certainly be brought about through the dynamic processes of the singlet (thermally generated from ground state) biradical 2.20

According to the current theory, radical centers in a biradical must be separated to the distance where $S-T_0$ splitting 2J becomes the order of hyperfine interactions to give rise to $(S-T_0)$ CIDNP. Therefore, unless the biradical is large enough to fulfill the above condition, no CIDNP effect is expected in its reaction. Recently Closs and Doubleday²¹ reported that the average $S-T_0$ splitting in the 1,7-biradical resulting from the photo- α -cleavage of cycloheptanone amounted to 1.87 cm⁻¹ (20 kG), which was ca. 10^3 times the magnitude of a typical hyperfine interaction. Present results imply that even in 2, the exchange interaction is sufficiently small to give rise to $(S-T_0)$ CIDNP.

Some rather short-chain biradicals generated in the triplet state have been reported to exhibit CIDNP due to S-T- mixing.²¹⁻²³ CIDNP in 1, however, is apparently not due to S-T- mixing because all the polarization should occur in emission or absorption in the (S-T-) CIDNP spectrum.

CIDNP is a highly useful tool for the mechanistic investigations on the radical reactions. The results reported here show that CIDNP may be expected in the products derived from short-chain singlet biradicals in

(17) The biradical species formed reversibly by the reaction of 2 or 3

$$(2 \text{ or } 3) \cdot + S \longrightarrow (2 \text{ or } 3) - S \cdot$$

with solvent may be rejected because the CIDNP effect was observed in solvents widely different in chemical properties: triglyme, p-dibromobenzene, and diphenyl ether.

(18) T. Tsuji and S. Nishida, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 95, 7519 (1973).

(19) Even if $\Delta g \neq 0$, the polarization of olefinic protons whose hyperfine couplings in 3 should be small is difficult to explain; cf. J. K. Kochi and P. J. Krusic, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 91, 3940 (1969).
(20) The distribution of odd electron density in the cyclohexadienyl

(20) This been reported to be 0.349 on C_1 and C_5 , -0.103 on C_2 and C_4 , and 0.506 on C_3 : R. W. Fessenden and R. H. Schuler, J. Chem. Phys., 38, 773 (1963); 39, 2147 (1963). (21) G. L. Closs and C. E. Doubleday, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 95, 2735

(1973).

(22) J. A. Berson, R. J. Bushby, J. M. McBride, and M. Tremelling, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 93, 1544 (1971).

(23) G. L. Closs, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 93, 1546 (1971); G. E. Closs and C. E. Doubleday, ibid., 94, 9248 (1972).

certain cases.²⁴⁻²⁶ We make this preliminary report because the present results promise to be of considerable importance, in view of current interests in the CIDNP phenomenon and the chemistry of short-chain biradicals.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to Professors K. Kuwata, H. Tsubomura, K. Ohno, and H. Iwamura for helpful discussions. We thank Miss T. Okayama for the measurement of nmr spectra.

(24) Since $S-T_0$ mixing by the hyperfine interactions does not involve any change in the z components of nuclear spins, to observe CIDNP via spin selection, spin-independent competitive process is required. In the normal radical pair reactions, diffusive separation of the components generally provides such a process. In the biradical reactions, diffusive separation is absent. Closs and Trifunac¹ predicted that $(S-T_0)$ CIDNP would not be observed in the biradical reactions because of the absence of diffusive process. However, $(S-T_0)$ CIDNP is apparently observed as shown in this reaction and others.^{26,26} Rearrangement of 2 to 3 and subsequent solvent transfer reaction would provide the spin-independent process for 2 in this reaction.

(25) R. Kaptein, M. Frater-Schroeder, and L. J. Oosterhoff, Chem. Phys. Lett., 12, 16 (1971).

(26) T. Tsuji and S. Nishida, Chem. Lett., 1335 (1973).

Takashi Tsuji,* Shinya Nishida Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science Hokkaido University, Kitaku, Sapporo, Japan Received February 5, 1974

Synthesis of a New Type of σ -Bonded Organouranium Compound. Mono- and Bis[tris(η^5 -cyclopentadienyl)uranium]ferrocene¹

Sir:

In recent years, there has been a growing interest in organoactinide chemistry, with particular emphasis on seeking evidence for covalency and possible 5f orbital participation in the bonding.² Two important types of compounds have been prepared and studied: the π -bonded "uranocenes" and $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_3UR$ (R is a σ bonded alkyl or aryl group).⁴ In our further studies of this latter class of compounds, we wish to report the preparation and some properties of two new novel derivatives, [tris(η^{5} -cyclopentadienyl)uranium]ferrocene (I) and 1,1'-bis[tris(η^{5} -cyclopentadienyl)uranium]ferro-



cene (II). These are the first compounds of the type η^{5} -Cp₃UR (Cp = cyclopentadienyl) where R is an

(1) Organolanthanides and Organoactinides. VIII.

(2) (a) H. Gysling and M. Tsutsui, Advan. Organometal. Chem., 9, 361 (1970); (b) R. G. Hayes and J. L. Thomas, Organometal. Chem. Rev., Sect. A, 7, 1 (1971).

(3) A. Streitwieser, Jr., U. Muller-Westerhoff, G. Sonnichsen, F. Mares, D. G. Morrell, K. O. Hodgson, and C. A. Harmon, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 95, 8644 (1973), and references therein.

(4) (a) A. E. Gebala and M. Tsutsui, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 95, 91 (1973); (b) T. J. Marks, A. M. Seyam, and J. R. Kolb, *ibid.*, 95, 5529 (1973); (c) G. Brandi, M. Brunelli, G. Lugli, and A. Mazzei, *Inorg. Chim. Actor.* 7, 210 (1072). Chim. Acta, 7, 319 (1973).

Journal of the American Chemical Society | 96:11 | May 29, 1974